Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/516,921	DIETZEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1791	

	MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhaunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	aut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
 (a) \overline{\text{M}} They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) \overline{\text{M}} They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:			OTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	-1 OL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 			
non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 20-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See the enclosed response. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Matthew J. Daniels/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1791	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment combines limitations from at least two dependent claims into the independent claim in a claim scope which has not been previously considered and which would change the scope of all claims dependent thereon. These claim amendments would require further consideration. Additionally, it is unclear that the proposed combination of dependent claims is supported by the specification.